TRANSMITTAL FORM (so be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Application Number			10/813,963	
		Filing Date			March 31, 2004	
		First Named Inventor			Surajit Chaudhuri	
		Group Art Unit			2165	
		Confirmation N	umber		5889	
		Examiner Name	Examiner Name		Michael J. Hicks	
Sent via Express Mail Label No.:		Attorney Docke	Attorney Docket Number		307523.01	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached		nment Papers in Application)				
Amendment / Reply ( pages)	☐ Drawi	ing(s) ( sheets)		-	Appeals and Interferences	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		ewly Executed ( pa	ges)	0	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
Extension of Time Request     Express Abandonment Request	(3	copy from a prior ap 7 CFR 1.63(d)) ( ps	plication ages)	0	Proprietary Information	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement with	☐ Licen	sing-related Papers			Status Letter	
Form PTO/SB/08A ( pages)	☐ Petitio	on			Application Data Sheet	
Response to Notice to File Missing Parts  A copy of the Notice to File Missing Parts Under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.5		on to Convert to a Pro ication	visional		Request for Corrected Filing Receipt Return Receipt Postcard	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a))		ral Power of Attorney 7 CFR 3.73(b) Statem		Ø	•	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via	-	inal Disclaimer	-		⊠ Issue Fee Transmittal ⊠ Comments on Statement of	
EFS-Web on the date shown below:	Reque	est for Refund			Reasons for Allowance	
June 12, 2008 /Noemi Tovar/	CD, N	Number of CD(s)				
Date Signature Noemi Tovar Printed Name	Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 50-0463 for the above identified patent application.					
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OR AGENT						
Signature /Aaron C. Chatterjee/ Reg.		ı. No.	No. 41,398			
Name of Attorney or Agent Aaro		n C. Chatterjee				
Date June 12, 2008	Tel.	(425) 706-745	3	Fa	acsimile No. (425) 708-5046	
Assignee Name:	MICROSOFT CORPORATION ONE MICROSOFT WAY REDMOND, WA 98052					
Customer Number:		22971				

 First Named Inventor:
 Surajit Chaudhuri
 Attorney Docket No.:
 307523.01

 Application No.:
 10/813,963
 Group Art Unit:
 2165

 Filed:
 March 31, 2004
 Confirmation Number:
 5889

Customer No.: 22971 Examiner: MICHAEL J HICKS

Title: OUERY PROGRESS ESTIMATION

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims in the subject application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,
Microsoft Corporation

Date: June 12, 2008 By: Aaron C. Chatterjee

Aaron C. Chatterjee, Reg. No.: 41,398 Direct telephone (425) 706-7453 Microsoft Corporation One Microsoft Way Redmond WA 98052-6399

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

June 12, 2008	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar